arXiv:1012.1915v4 [math.AP] 25 Sep 2012

EXTINCTION PROFILE OF THE LOGARITHMIC DIFFUSION EQUATION

KIN MING HUI AND SUNGHOON KIM

ABSTRACT. Let u be the solution of $u_t = \Delta \log u$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T)$, $N = 3$ or $N \geq 5$, with initial value u_0 satisfying $B_{k_1}(x,0) \leq u_0 \leq B_{k_2}(x,0)$ for some constants $k_1 > k_2 > 0$ where $B_k(x,t) = 2(N-2)(T-t)_+^{N/(N-2)}/(k + (T-t)_+^{2/(N-2)}|x|^2)$ is the Barenblatt solution for the equation. We give a new different proof on the uniform convergence of the rescaled function $\widetilde{u}(x,s) = (T-t)^{-N/(N-2)}u(x/(T-t)^{-1/(N-2)},t)$, $s = -\log(T-t)$, on $\mathbb{R}^N$ to the rescaled Barenblatt solution $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}(x) = 2(N-2)/(k_0 + |x|^2)$ for some $k_0 > 0$ as $s \to \infty$. We also obtain convergence of the rescaled solution $\widetilde{u}(x,s)$ as $s \to \infty$ when the initial data satisfies $0 \leq u_0(x) \leq B_{k_0}(x,0)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$ and $|u_0(x) - B_{k_0}(x,0)| \leq f(|x|) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for some constant $k_0 > 0$ and some radially symmetric function f.

1. Introduction

The equation

$$u_t = \triangle \phi_m(u) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T) \tag{1.1}$$

where $\phi_m(u) = u^m/m$ for $m \neq 0$ and $\phi_m(u) = \triangle \log u$ for $m = 0$ arises in many physical models such as the flow of gases through porous media [A], [P]. When $m = 1$, (1.1) is the heat equation. When $m = 0$ and $N = 1$, the equation (1.1) arises as the limiting density distribution of two gases moving against each other and obeying the Boltzmann equation [K], as the diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models [LT], and in the model of viscous liquid film lying on a solid surface and subjecting to long range Van der Waals interactions with the fourth order term being neglected [G], [WD]. When $m = 0$ and $N = 2$, (1.1) arises as the Ricci flow on the complete surface $\mathbb{R}^2$ [W1], [W2]. We refer the reader to the book [V3] by J.L. Vazquez for the basics of the above equation and the books [DK], [V2], by P. Daskalopoulos, C.E. Kenig, and J.L. Vazquez for the recent research results on (1.1).

As observed by J.L. Vazquez [V1] there is a great difference in the behaviour of the solutions of (1.1) for $m > (N-2)_+/N$ and for $m \leq (N-2)_+/N$. For example for $m > (N-2)_+/N$ there exists global $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solution of (1.1) while for $0 < m \leq (N-2)_+/N$ and $N \geq 3$ the $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ solutions of (1.1) vanish in a finite time. For $m \leq -1$ and $N = 1$ there exists no finite mass solution of (1.1).

In [DS1] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum proved the convergence of the rescaled solution of (1.1) to the rescaled Barenblatt solution of (1.1) near the extinction time for the case $0 < m \leq (N-2)_+/N$, $N > 2$, with initial data that behaves like $O(|x|^{-2/(1-m)})$ as $|x| \to \infty$. Extinction behaviour of the solution of

$$\begin{cases} u_t = \triangle \log u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \end{cases} \tag{1.2}$$

for the case $N = 2$ was studied by S.Y. Hsu [Hs2], [Hs3], P. Daskalopoulos, M.A. del Pino and N. Sesum [DP2], [DS2] and K.M. Hui [Hu3].

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35B40 Secondary 35K57, 35K65.

Key words and phrases. logarithmic diffusion equation, extinction profile, asymptotic behaviour.

In [Hu2] K.M. Hui proved that any solution of (1.2) with $N \ge 3$ and initial value satisfying the condition $0 \le u_0(x) \le C/|x|^2$ for all $|x| \ge R_0$ and some constants $R_0 > 0$, $C > 0$, will vanish in a finite time. It would be interesting to find the extinction behaviour of the solution of (1.2) for the case $N \ge 3$. In this paper we will study the asymptotic behaviour of solutions of (1.2) for $N = 3$ and $N \ge 5$ near its extinction time under the assumption that the initial value u_0 is non-negative, locally integrable, and

$$u_0(x) \approx \frac{C}{|x|^2} \quad \text{as } |x| \to \infty. \tag{1.3}$$

Note that the self-similar Barenblatt solutions of (1.2) for $N \ge 3$ are given explicitly by

$$B_k(x,t) = \frac{2(N-2)(T-t)_+^{\frac{N}{N-2}}}{k + (T-t)_+^{\frac{2}{N-2}}|x|^2}, \qquad k > 0, \tag{1.4}$$

which satisfy the growth condition (1.3).

Note that the asymptotics of the solutions of the fast diffusion equation (1.1) for the case $0 < m < 1$ and the case $m = (N-4)/(N-2)$ is studied by A. Blanchet, M. Bonfort, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vaquez in [BBDGV] and [BGV]. Sharp decay rate of the solutions of (1.1) for the case $0 < m < 1$ and $m \ne (N-4)/(N-2)$ is proved in [BDGV]. A sketch that their proofs extended to the case $m = 0$ is also given in appendix B of [BBDGV]. The proof in [BBDGV], [BGV] and [BDGV] used Lypunov functional technique. On the other hand in this paper we will give a totally different proof of the asymptotics of the solutions of the fast diffusion equation (1.1) for the case $m = 0$ and $N = 3$ or $N > 5$ near the extinction time using a modification of the potential technique of P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum [DS1].

We will assume $N \ge 3$ for the rest of the paper. We will also assume in the first part of this paper that the initial condition u_0 is trapped in between two Barenblatt solutions, i.e.,

$$B_{k_1}(x,0) \le u_0(x) \le B_{k_2}(x,0) \tag{1.5}$$

for some constants $k_1 > k_2 > 0$. We will consider first solutions of (1.2) which satisfy the condition

$$B_{k_1}(x,t) \le u(x,t) \le B_{k_2}(x,t) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T). \tag{1.6}$$

Note that if u is the maximal solution of (1.2) for $N \ge 3$ with initial value satisfying (1.5), then by the result of [Hu2] u satisfies (1.6).

Consider the rescaled function

$$\widetilde{u}(x,s) = \frac{1}{(T-t)^{\frac{N}{N-2}}} u\left(\frac{x}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{N-2}}}, t\right), \qquad s = -\log(T-t). \tag{1.7}$$

By direct computation $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies

$$\widetilde{u}_s = \triangle \log \widetilde{u} + \frac{1}{N-2}\operatorname{div}(x \cdot \widetilde{u}) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, \infty). \tag{1.8}$$

By (1.6) and (1.7),

$$\widetilde{B}_{k_1}(x) \le \widetilde{u}(x,s) \le \widetilde{B}_{k_2}(x) \tag{1.9}$$

holds in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, \infty)$ where

$$\widetilde{B}_k(x) = \frac{2(N-2)}{k + |x|^2}. \tag{1.10}$$

The main convergence results that we will prove in this paper are the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let* $N = 3$ *and let* u_0 *satisfy* (1.5) *for some constant* $k_1 > k_2 > 0$. *Suppose* u *is a solution of* (1.2) *with initial value* u_0 *which satisfies* (1.6). *Then the rescaled function* $\widetilde{u}$ *given by* (1.7) *converges uniformly on* $\mathbb{R}^3$ *and also in* $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ *as* $s \to \infty$ *to the rescaled Barenblatt solution* $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}$ *for some constant* $k_0 > 0$ *uniquely determined by*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u_0(x) - B_{k_0}(x, 0))\, dx = 0. \tag{1.11}$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let* $N \geq 5$ *and let* u *be a solution of* (1.2) *with initial value* u_0 *satisfying* (1.5) *and*

$$u_0 = B_{k_0} + f \tag{1.12}$$

for some constants $k_1 > k_2 > 0$, $k_0 > 0$ *and* $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *where* B_{k_0} *is the Barenblatt solution. Suppose* u *satisfies* (1.6). *Let* $\widetilde{u}$ *be the rescaled function given by* (1.7). *Then* $\widetilde{u}$ *converges uniformly on* $\mathbb{R}^N$ *and in the weighted space* $L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\frac{N-4}{2}}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ *as* $s \to \infty$ *to the rescaled Barenblatt solution* $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}$.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In section 2 we will establish some a priori estimates for the solutions of (1.2). We will prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2 in sections three and four respectively. In section five we will improve Theorem 1.2 by removing the condition (1.5) on the initial data.

We start with some definitions. We say that u is a solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ if $u > 0$ in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ and u satisfies (1.2) in the classical sense in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ with

$$u(\cdot, t) \to u_0 \quad \text{in } L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N) \quad \text{as } t \to 0.$$

We say that u is a maximal solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ if u is a solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$ and $u \geq v$ for any solution v of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T)$. For any $R > 0$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^N$, let $B_R(x_0) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : |x - x_0| < R\}$. Let ω_N be the surface area of the unit sphere S^{N-1} in $\mathbb{R}^N$. For any $a \in \mathbb{R}$, let $a_{\pm} = \max(\pm a, 0)$. We will assume $N \geq 3$ for the rest of the paper.

For any $\alpha > 0$, we define the weighted L^1-space with weight $\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x) := \left(\frac{2(N-2)}{k_2 + |x|^2}\right)^{\alpha}$ as

$$L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^N) := \left\{ f \Big| \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(x) \widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\, dx < \infty \right\}.$$

2. Preliminary Estimates

In this section we will establish some a priori estimates for the solutions of (1.2).

Lemma 2.1. *Let* u, v *be two solutions of* (1.2) *with initial values* u_0, v_0 *respectively. Assume in addition that* $u, v \geq B$, *for some Barenblatt solution* $B = B_k$ *given by* (1.4). *Then there exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that*

$$(i) \quad \left(\int_{B_R(x)} (u - v)_+(y, t)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)} (u_0 - v_0)_+(y)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C R^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \sqrt{T}$$

and

$$(ii) \quad \left(\int_{B_R(x)} |u - v|(y, t)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)} |u_0 - v_0|(y)\, dy \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + C R^{\frac{N-2}{2}} \sqrt{T}$$

holds for any $R \geq |x| + \sqrt{k} \delta^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 < t \leq T - \delta$, *and* $0 < \delta < T$.

Proof. We will use a modification of the argument of [Hu2] to prove the lemma. Without loss of generality we may assume that $x = 0$. Let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, $0 \le \eta \le 1$, be such that $\eta(x) = 1$ for $|x| \le 1$, $\eta = 0$ for $|x| \ge 2$ and $\eta_R(x) = \eta(x/R)$ for any $R > 0$. Then $|\triangle \eta_R| \le \frac{C_1}{R^2}$ and $|\nabla \eta_R| \le \frac{C_1}{R}$ for some constant $C_1 > 0$. By the Kato inequality [K],

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\log u - \log v)_+(x,t)\triangle\eta_R^4(x)\,dx \quad \forall 0<t<T. \tag{2.1}$$

Since $v \ge B_k$ for some Barenblatt solution B_k,

$$(\log u - \log v)_+ = \left(\log\left(\frac{u}{v}\right)\right)_+ \le C\left(\frac{u}{v}-1\right)_+^{\frac{1}{2}} \le C\frac{(u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}}{v_+^{\frac{1}{2}}} \le CB_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}(u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{2.2}$$

for some generic constant $C > 0$. By (2.1), (2.2), and the Hölder inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx\\
\le& C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}(x,t)B_k^{-\frac{1}{2}}(x)|\triangle\eta_R^4|(x)\,dx\\
\le& C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\eta_R^{-4}B_k^{-1}|\triangle\eta_R^4|^2\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\le& C\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}B_k^{-1}\left(32\eta_R^2|\triangle\eta_R|^2+288|\nabla\eta_R|^4\right)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Since

$$(B_k(x,t))^{-1} \le \frac{C|x|^2}{T-t} \quad \forall |x| \ge \sqrt{k}\delta^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}, 0 \le t \le T-\delta, 0<\delta<T, \tag{2.4}$$

by (2.3) we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx \le C\frac{R^{\frac{N-2}{2}}}{(T-t)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u-v)_+(x,t)\eta_R^4(x)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

for any $R^2 \ge k\delta^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}$, $0 < t \le T-\delta$, and $0<\delta<T$. By integrating the above differential inequality with respect to t, we get (i). Similarly,

$$\left(\int_{B_R(x)}(u-v)_-(x,t)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le \left(\int_{B_{2R}(x)}(u_0-v_0)_-(x,t)\,dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + CR^{\frac{N-2}{2}}\sqrt{T}.$$

holds for any $R^2 \ge k\delta^{-\frac{2}{N-2}}$, $0<t\le T-\delta$, and $0<\delta<T$. (ii) then follows by adding the above inequality with (i). □

Lemma 2.2. *Let u, v be two solutions of* (1.2) *with initial values u_0, v_0, respectively. Assume in addition that u, $v \ge B$, for some Barenblatt solution $B = B_k$ given by* (1.4)*. If $f = u_0 - v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then $u(\cdot,t)-v(\cdot,t) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in [0,T)$.*

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [DS1] to prove the lemma. We introduce the potential function

$$w(x,t) = \int_0^t |(\log u - \log v)(x,s)|\,ds \quad \forall 0 < t \le T-\delta.$$

By the Kato inequality [K],

$$\triangle|\log u - \log v| \ge \operatorname{sign}(u-v)\triangle(\log u - \log v),$$

and so from equation (1.2), we obtain

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}|u-v| \le \triangle|\log u - \log v|. \tag{2.5}$$

Integrating the above inequality in time, and using that $|f| = |u_0 - v_0|$, we obtain

$$\triangle w \ge -|f| \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \qquad\qquad \forall 0 < t < T. \tag{2.6}$$

Let

$$Z(x) = \frac{1}{(N-2)\omega_N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-2}}\,dy$$

denote the Newtonian potential of $|f|$ where ω_N is the surface area of the unit sphere S^{N-1} in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then by (2.6),

$$\triangle(w(\cdot,t) - Z) \ge 0 \tag{2.7}$$

in the sense of distributions in $\mathbb{R}^N$ for any $0 < t < T$. Next we would like to show that

$$\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w(x,t)\,dx \le \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} Z(x)\,dx \qquad \forall R > 0. \tag{2.8}$$

In order to prove this estimate we first suppose that $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)\cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$. By (2.7) and the mean value property for subharmonic functions,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x,t) &\le Z(x) + \frac{N}{\omega_N\rho^N}\int_{B_\rho(x)}\left(w(y,t) - Z(y)\right)\,dy \\ &\le Z(x) + \frac{N}{\omega_N\rho^N}\int_{B_\rho(x)} w(y,t)\,dy \end{aligned} \tag{2.9}$$

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, $0 < t < T$, and $\rho > 0$. We claim that

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_{B_\rho(x)} w(y,t)\,dy = 0 \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, 0 < t < T. \tag{2.10}$$

In order to prove (2.10) it suffices to prove that

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}I_1(\rho,t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}I_2(\rho,t) = 0 \qquad \forall 0 < t < T$$

where

$$\begin{cases} I_1(\rho,t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t\int_{B_\rho(x)}\left(\log u - \log v\right)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds \\ I_2(\rho,t) = \displaystyle\int_0^t\int_{B_\rho(x)}\left(\log u - \log v\right)_-(y,s)\,dy\,ds. \end{cases}$$

Since u and v are the solutions of (1.2), by the Green Theorem ([GT]) and an approximation argument,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u-v)_+(y,s)(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
=&\int_{B_\rho(x)\cap\{u>v\}}\triangle\,(\log u-\log v)\,(y,s)(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
\le&\int_{B_\rho(x)\cap\{u>v\}}(\log u-\log v)\,(y,s)\triangle(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
&\qquad-\int_{\partial\{B_\rho(x)\cap\{u>v\}\}}(\log u-\log v)\,(y,s)\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,d\sigma_y\\
\le&-2N\int_{B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\\
&\qquad+2\rho\int_{\partial B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,d\sigma_y\qquad\forall 0<s<T
\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}$ is the derivative with respect to the unit outer normal ν on $\partial\{B_\rho(x)\cap\{u>v\}\}$. Integrating (2.11) with respect to s over $(0,\tau)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u-v)_+(y,\tau)(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
&\qquad\le\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u_0-v_0)_+(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
&\qquad\quad-2N\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds\\
&\qquad\quad+2\rho\int_0^\tau\int_{\partial B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,d\sigma_y\,ds\quad\forall 0<\tau<T.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.12}$$

Integrating (2.12) with respect to τ over $(0,t)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u-v)_+(y,\tau)(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dyd\tau\\
&\qquad\le T\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u_0-v_0)_+(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\\
&\qquad\quad-2N\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\
&\qquad\quad+2\rho\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{\partial B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,d\sigma_y\,ds\,d\tau\quad\forall 0<t<T.
\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Let $0<t_0<T$ and $\delta=T-t_0$. Now we divide the proof into two cases depending on whether

$$\int_0^{t_0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dydsd\tau<\infty\tag{2.14}$$

or

$$\int_0^{t_0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds\,d\tau=\infty.\tag{2.15}$$

Case 1: (2.14) holds.

Then for any $0 < \delta' < t_0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\infty >& \int_{t_0-\delta'}^{t_0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ \geq& \,\delta'\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(\log u-\log v)_+(y,s)\,dy\,ds \qquad\qquad \forall 0<t<t_0-\delta'.\end{aligned}\tag{2.16}$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}I_1(\rho,t)=0 \qquad\qquad \forall 0<t<t_0-\delta'.\tag{2.17}$$

Since δ' is arbitrary, (2.17) holds for any $0<t<t_0$.

Case 2: (2.15) holds.

By the l'Hospital rule,

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^{t_0}\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ &\qquad\qquad =\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{N\rho^{N-1}}\int_0^{t_0}\int_0^\tau\int_{\partial B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+\,d\sigma_y\,ds\,d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{2.18}$$

Let $r_1 = |x| + k\delta^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}$. By (2.2), (2.4), Lemma 2.1, and the Hölder inequality, for any $\rho > |x| + k\delta^{-\frac{1}{N-2}}$ and $0<t\le T-\delta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)}(\log u-\log v)_+\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ =&\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)\cap B_{r_1}(0)}(\log u-\log v)_+\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ &\quad+\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{r_1}(0)}(\log u-\log v)_+\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ \le&\frac{C_1}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\int_{B_{r_1}(0)}(u-v)_+^{\frac12}(y,s)\,dy\,ds\,d\tau\\ &\quad+\frac{C_1}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\frac{\rho}{(T-s)^{\frac12}}\left(\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u-v)_+^{\frac12}(y,s)\,dy\right)ds\,d\tau\\ \le&\frac{C'}{\rho^N}\int_0^t\int_0^\tau\left(\int_{B_{r_1}(0)}(u-v)_+(y,s)\,dy\right)^{\frac12}ds\,d\tau+C'T^{\frac32}\left(\frac{\|f\|^{\frac12}_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\rho^{\frac N2-1}}+\sqrt{T}\right)\end{aligned}\tag{2.19}$$

for some constant $C_1>0$, $C'>0$, depending on δ and k. By (2.19) the limit in (2.18) is finite. Since $u_0-v_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$,

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^{N-2}}\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u_0-v_0)_+(y)\,dy=0.\tag{2.20}$$

Dividing (2.13) by ρ^N and letting $t=t_0$ and $\rho\to\infty$ as $i\to\infty$, by (2.18) and (2.20),

$$\lim_{i\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_\rho(x)}(u-v)_+(y,\tau)\left(\rho^2-|x-y|^2\right)\,dyd\tau=0.\tag{2.21}$$

Let $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$. Since

$$\rho^2 \le \frac{\rho^2 - |x-y|^2}{1-(1-\epsilon)^2} \qquad \forall y \in B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x),$$

by (2.2), (2.4), Lemma 2.1, and the Hölder inequality, for any $\rho > |x|$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dy\,ds \\
=&\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)\cap B_{r_1}(0)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dy\,ds \\
&\qquad + \int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)\setminus B_{r_1}(0)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dy\,ds \\
\le& C_2\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_{r_1}(0)} (u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}(y,s)\,dy\,ds + C_2\int_0^{t_0}\frac{1}{(T-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_{B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} \rho\cdot(u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\,dy\right)ds \\
\le& C_3T + \frac{C_3\rho^{\frac{N}{2}}\sqrt{T}}{\delta^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1-(1-\epsilon)^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left(\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_\rho(x)} (u-v)_+ (y,s)(\rho^2-|x-y|^2)\,dy\,ds\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

for some constants $C_2 > 0$, $C_3 > 0$. Thus by (2.21) and (2.22),

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dy\,ds = 0. \tag{2.23}$$

Now for any $y \in B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)$ and $\rho > 2(|x|+r_1)$ we have

$$\frac{3}{2}\rho \ge |x| + \rho \ge |y| \ge |x-y| - |x| \ge (1-\varepsilon)\rho - |x| \ge r_1.$$

Hence by (2.2), (2.4), Lemma 2.1, and the Hölder inequality, for any $\rho > 2(|x|+r_1)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dy\,ds \\
\le\,& C\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} v^{-\frac{1}{2}}\,(u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\,dy\,ds \\
\le\,& C\rho\int_0^{t_0}\frac{1}{(T-s)^{\frac{1}{2}}}\int_{B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} (u-v)_+^{\frac{1}{2}}\,dy\,ds \\
\le\,& C'\left(1-(1-\epsilon)^N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\sqrt{T}\rho^{\frac{N}{2}+1}\left(\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \rho^{N-2}T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}
\end{aligned}$$

for some constants $C > 0$, $C' > 0$. Hence

$$\limsup_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}\int_0^{t_0}\int_{B_\rho(x)\setminus B_{(1-\epsilon)\rho}(x)} (\log u - \log v)_+ (y,s)\,dyds \le C'\left(1-(1-\epsilon)^N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}T. \tag{2.24}$$

By (2.23) and (2.24),

$$\limsup_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}I_1(\rho,t_0) \le C'\left(1-(1-\epsilon)^N\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}T. \tag{2.25}$$

Since $0 < \epsilon < 1/2$ is arbitrary, letting $\epsilon \to 0$ in (2.25) we get that

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty}\frac{1}{\rho^N}I_1(\rho,t) = 0 \tag{2.26}$$

holds for any $0 < t < t_0$. By Case 1 and Case 2, (2.26) holds for any $0 < t < t_0$. Since $0 < t_0 < T$ is arbitrary, (2.26) holds for any $0 < t < T$. Similarly,

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^N} I_2(\rho,t) = 0 \quad \forall 0 < t < T$$

and (2.10) follows. Letting $\rho \to \infty$ in (2.9), by (2.10),

$$w(x,t) \le Z(x) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, 0 < t < T. \tag{2.27}$$

By (2.27), we get that (2.8) holds for any $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$.

For general $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Let $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be such that $0 \le \varphi \le 1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \varphi = 1$. Let

$$\varphi_\epsilon(y) = \epsilon^{-N} \varphi\left(\frac{y}{\epsilon}\right)$$

and

$$g_\epsilon(x) = g * \varphi_\epsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(x-y)\varphi_\epsilon(y)\, dy$$

for any $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ and $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Then by (2.7),

$$\triangle (w_\epsilon - Z_\epsilon) \ge 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall 0 < t < T.$$

Hence

$$w_\epsilon(x,t) \le Z_\epsilon(x) + \frac{N}{\omega_N \rho^N} \int_{B_\rho(x)} w_\epsilon(y,t)\, dy \qquad \forall \rho > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^N, 0 < t < T.$$

Therefore

$$\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w_\epsilon(x,t)\, dx \le \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} Z_\epsilon(x)\, dx + \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} \left(\frac{N}{\omega_N \rho^N} \int_{B_\rho(x)} w_\epsilon(y,t)\, dy \right) dx \quad \forall R > 0.$$

Letting $\epsilon \to 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w(x,t)\, dx &\le \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} Z(x)\, dx + \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} \left(\frac{N}{\omega_N \rho^N} \int_{B_\rho(x)} w(y,t)\, dy \right) dx \\ &\le \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} Z(x)\, dx + \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} \left(\frac{N}{\omega_N \rho^N} \int_{B_{\rho+2R}(0)} w(y,t)\, dy \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

By (2.10),

$$\lim_{\rho\to\infty} \frac{1}{\rho^N} \int_{B_{\rho+2R}(0)} w(y,t)\, dy = 0.$$

Hence by letting $\rho \to \infty$ in (2.28), (2.8) follows. Let η_R be as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. By (2.5),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u-v|(\cdot,t)\eta_R\, dx &\le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f|\, dx + \int_0^t \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} |\log u - \log v||\triangle \eta_R|\, dx ds \\ &\le \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \frac{C}{R^2} \int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w(x)\, dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.29}$$

By (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w(x,t)\,dx &\le \frac{1}{N(N-2)\omega_N}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\frac{|f(y)|}{|x-y|^{N-2}}\,dy\right)dx\\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|f(y)|\left(\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\frac{dx}{|x-y|^{N-2}}\right)dy \qquad (2.30)\\ &\le C\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|f(y)|J_R(y)\,dy.\end{aligned}$$

where $J_R(y)=\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\frac{dx}{|x-y|^{N-2}}$. Let $R\le|x|\le 2R$. Then for $|y|\le\frac{R}{2}$ we have $|x-y|\ge|x|/2$. Hence

$$J_R(y)\le\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\frac{dx}{\left(\frac{|x|}{2}\right)^{N-2}}\le CR^2 \qquad \forall|y|\le\frac{R}{2}. \tag{2.31}$$

For $|y|\ge 4R$, we have $|x-y|\ge|y|/2\ge 2R$. Thus

$$J_R(y)\le\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\frac{dx}{(2R)^{N-2}}\le CR^2 \qquad \forall|y|\le 4R. \tag{2.32}$$

Finally for $\frac{R}{2}<|y|<4R$, we have $|x-y|<6R$. Therefore

$$J_R(y)\le\int_{|x-y|<6R}\frac{dx}{|x-y|^{N-2}}\le CR^2 \qquad \forall\frac{R}{2}<|y|<4R. \tag{2.33}$$

By (2.30), (2.31), (2.32) and (2.33),

$$\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R} w(x,t)\,dx\le C'R^2\|f\|_{L^1} \quad \forall 0<t<T \tag{2.34}$$

for some constant $C'>0$. By (2.29) and (2.34),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u-v|(x,t)\eta_R(x)\,dx\le C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \qquad \forall R>0, 0<t<T$$

for some constant $C>0$. Letting $R\to\infty$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u-v|(x,t)\,dx\le C\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \forall 0<t<T$$

and the lemma follows. □

By an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 2.2 of [DS1] but with Lemma 2.2 replacing Lemma 2.1 of [DS1] in the proof, we have the following L^1-contraction principle for the solutions of (1.2) that are bounded below by some Barenblatt solution B.

Lemma 2.3. *Let u, v be two solutions of* (1.2) *with initial values u_0, v_0 respectively and $f=u_0-v_0\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Assume in addition that u, $v\ge B$, for some Barenblatt solution $B=B_k$ given by* (1.4). *Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u(\cdot,t)-v(\cdot,t)|\,dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|u_0-v_0|\,dx, \qquad \forall t\in[0,T).$$

As a consequence of Lemma 2.3 we have the following result concerning the rescaling solutions $\widetilde{u}$ and $\widetilde{v}$ of solutions u and v of (1.2) .

Corollary 2.4. *Let u, v, u_0, v_0, be as in Lemma 2.3. If $u_0 - v_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}(x,s) - \widetilde{v}(x,s)|\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_0 - v_0|\,dx, \qquad \forall s > -\log T.$$

3. THE INTEGRABLE CASE ($N = 3$)

This section will be devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Note that when $N = 3$, the difference of two solutions u, v, satisfying (1.6) is integrable. We will use a modification of the technique of [Hs1] to prove Theorem 1.1. We begin this section with the following technical lemma, which constitutes the main step in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $N \ge 3$, $s_0 > 0$, $0 \le f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $0 \le g, \hat{g} \in C(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0]) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, s_0])$ such that $0 \le g \le \hat{g}$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0)$. Let $\widetilde{a}(x,s) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0])$ satisfy*

$$C_1(1 + |x|^2) \le \widetilde{a}(x,s) \le C_2(1 + |x|^2) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, 0 \le s \le s_0, \tag{3.1}$$

for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$. For any $R > 1$, let $p_R(x,s)$ be a solution of

$$\begin{cases} p_s(x,s) = \triangle\left(\widetilde{a}(x,s)p(x,s)\right) + \dfrac{1}{N-2} div\,(x \cdot p(x,s)) & \text{in } B_R(0) \times (0, s_0) \\ p(x,s) = g(x,s) & \text{on } \partial B_R(0) \times (0, s_0) \\ p(x,0) = f(x) & \text{in } B_R(0) \end{cases} \tag{3.2}$$

Then there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $R_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, depending on $\hat{g}$ and independent of g such that p_{R_i} converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0]$ as $i \to \infty$ to a solution p of

$$q_s = \triangle\left(\widetilde{a}(x,s)q\right) + \frac{1}{N-2} div(x \cdot q) \tag{3.3}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0]$ which satisfies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x,s)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f\,dx \qquad \forall 0 < s \le s_0. \tag{3.4}$$

Proof. Since $\hat{g} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times [0, s_0])$,

$$\int_{\frac{i}{2}}^{i} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{|x|=R} \hat{g}(y,s)\,d\sigma_R\,ds\,dR \to 0 \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty \tag{3.5}$$

where $d\sigma_R$ is the surface measure on $\partial B_R(0)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $R_i \in [i/2, i]$ such that

$$\int_0^{s_0} \int_{|x|=R_i} \hat{g}(y,s)\,d\sigma_{R_i} ds = \min_{\frac{i}{2} \le R \le i} \left\{ \int_0^{s_0} \int_{|x|=R} \hat{g}(y,s)\,d\sigma_R ds \right\}. \tag{3.6}$$

Then by (3.5),

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{i}{2} \int_0^{s_0} \int_{|x|=R_i} \hat{g}(y,s)\,d\sigma_{R_i} ds \to 0 \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty \\ \Rightarrow\quad &R_i \int_0^{s_0} \int_{|x|=R_i} \hat{g}(y,s)\,d\sigma_{R_i} ds \to 0 \qquad \text{as } i \to \infty. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

By choosing a subsequence if necessary we may assume without loss of generality that $R_{i+1} > R_i$ for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. By (3.1) and the Schauder estimates for parabolic equations [LSU], the sequence $\{p_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^\infty$ is equi-Hölder continuous in $C^{2,1}$ on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, s_0]$. Hence by the Ascoli Theorem and a diagonalization argument there exists a subsequence, which we will still denote by $\{p_{R_i}\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$, that converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N\times(0,s_0]$ to a solution p of (3.3) in $\mathbb{R}^N\times(0,s_0]$ as $i\to\infty$.

It remains to prove (3.4). We fix $s_1\in(0,s_0]$ and define the operator L by

$$L[\psi]=\psi_s+\widetilde{a}\triangle\psi-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\psi.$$

For any $R>1$ and $h\in C_0^{\infty}(B_R(0))$, $0\le h\le 1$ on $B_R(0)$, such that

$$h(x)=0\quad\text{on } B_R(0)\backslash B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0),\tag{3.8}$$

let $\psi_R(x,s)$ be the solution of

$$\begin{cases} L[\psi]=0 & \text{in } B_R(0)\times(0,s_1)\\ \psi(x,s)=0 & \text{on } \partial B_R(0)\times(0,s_1)\\ \psi(x,s_1)=h(x) & \text{in } B_R(0).\end{cases}\tag{3.9}$$

By the maximum principle $0\le\psi_R\le 1$ in $B_R(0)\times(0,s_1)$. Let

$$H_k(x)=\frac{2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}\left(1-\frac{|x|^{2k}}{R^{2k}}\right)$$

for some $k>0$ to be determined later. By direct computation for any $k\in\mathbb{N}$

$$L\left[H_k(x)\right]\le-\left(\frac{2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}\right)\frac{2k|x|^{2k-2}}{R^{2k}}\left[C_1(N+2k-2)+\left(C_1(N+2k-2)-\frac{1}{N-2}\right)|x|^2\right]\tag{3.10}$$

on $B_R(0)\backslash B_{R/2}(0)$ and

$$\begin{cases} H_k(x)\equiv 0 & \forall |x|=R\\ H_k(R/2)=1\ge\psi_R(x,s) & \forall|x|=R/2, 0<s<s_1.\end{cases}\tag{3.11}$$

We now choose $k>\frac{1}{2C_1(N-2)}+1$. Then by (3.10),

$$L\left[H_k(x)\right]<0\quad\text{in } B_R(0)\backslash B_{\frac{R}{2}}(0).\tag{3.12}$$

Hence $H_k(x)$ is a super-solution of $L(\xi)=0$ in $(B_R(0)\backslash B_{R/2}(0))\times(0,s_1)$. By (3.8), (3.9), (3.11), (3.12), and the maximum principle in $(B_R(0)\backslash B_{R/2}(0))\times(0,s_1)$,

$$\psi_R(x,s)\le H_k(x)=\frac{2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}\left(1-\frac{|x|^{2k}}{R^{2k}}\right)\qquad\text{on } (B_R(0)\backslash B_{R/2}(0))\times(0,s_1).\tag{3.13}$$

Then by (3.11) and (3.13),

$$\left|\frac{\partial\psi_R}{\partial\nu}\right|\le\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}\left(\frac{2^{2k}}{2^{2k}-1}\left(1-\frac{|x|^{2k}}{R^{2k}}\right)\right)\right|\le\frac{C}{R}\qquad\text{on } \partial B_R(0)\times(0,s_1)$$

for some constant $C>0$ depending on k where $\frac{\partial}{\partial\nu}$ is the derivative with respect to the unit outer normal ν on the boundary $\partial B_R(0)$.

Multiplying (3.2) by ψ_R and integrating over $B_R(0)$, by integration by parts, (3.1), and (3.9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\int_{|x|\le R} p_R\,\psi_R\,dx\right] &= \int_{|x|\le R}\left[\psi_{R,s}+\widetilde{a}\triangle\psi_R-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\psi_R\right]p_R\,dx-\int_{|x|=R}\widetilde{a}\,g\,\frac{\partial\psi_R}{\partial\nu}\,d\sigma_R\\ &= -\int_{|x|=R}\widetilde{a}\,g\,\frac{\partial\psi_R}{\partial\nu}\,d\sigma_R\\ &\le CR\int_{|x|=R}\hat{g}\,d\sigma_R \qquad\quad \forall 0<s<s_1,\quad R>1.\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

Hence

$$\int_{|x|\le R} p_R(x,s_1)h(x)\,dx \le \int_{|x|\le R} f(x)\psi_R(x,0)\,dx + CR\int_0^{s_0}\int_{|x|=R}\hat{g}\,d\sigma_R ds. \tag{3.15}$$

We now choose $h(x)=\eta_{R/4}(x)$ where $\eta_{R/4}(x)$ is as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. By the maximum principle $p_R\ge 0$ in $B_R\times(0,\infty)$. Then putting $R=R_i$ in (3.15) and letting $i\to\infty$, by (3.7),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} p(x,s_1)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f\,dx.$$

Since $0<s_1\le s_0$ is arbitrary, (3.4) follows. □

Lemma 3.2. *Let $N\ge 3$. Let u, v, be two solutions of* (1.2) *with initial values u_0, v_0, satisfying* (1.5) *for some constants $k_1>k_2>0$ and let $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}$, be given by* (1.7) *with $u=u$, v, respectively. Let $\widetilde{u}_0(x)=\widetilde{u}(x,-\log T)$ and $\widetilde{v}_0(x)=\widetilde{v}(x,-\log T)$. Suppose u, v, satisfy* (1.6) *and*

$$\min(\|(\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0)_+\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)},\|(\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0)_-\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)})>0.$$

Then

$$\|(\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v})(\cdot,s)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \quad \forall s>-\log T. \tag{3.16}$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [Hs1] to prove the lemma (cf. Lemma 3.1 of [DS1]). Let $q=\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}$. Then q satisfies (3.3) in $\mathbb{R}^N\times(-\log T,\infty)$ with

$$\widetilde{a}(x,s)=\int_0^1\frac{d\theta}{\theta\widetilde{u}+(1-\theta)\widetilde{v}}. \tag{3.17}$$

Since both $\widetilde{u}$ and $\widetilde{v}$ satisfy (1.9), $\widetilde{a}(x,s)$ satisfies the growth estimate

$$\frac{k_2+|x|^2}{2(N-2)}\le \widetilde{a}(x,s)\le\frac{k_1+|x|^2}{2(N-2)}. \tag{3.18}$$

Hence (3.3) is uniformly parabolic on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N\times(-\log T,\infty)$.

For any $R>0$, by standard parabolic theory there exist solutions q_1^R, q_2^R of (3.3) in $Q_R=B_R(0)\times(-\log T,\infty)$ with initial values $q_+(\cdot,-\log T)$, $q_-(\cdot,-\log T)$ and boundary value q_+, q_- on $\partial B_R(0)\times(-\log T,\infty)$, respectively. Notice that $q_1^R-q_2^R$ is a solution of (3.3) in Q_R with initial value $q(\cdot,-\log T)$ and boundary values q. By the maximum principle $q=q_1^R-q_2^R$ on Q_R. Similarly there are solutions $\overline{q}_1^R$, $\overline{q}_2^R$ of (3.3) in Q_R with initial values $q_+(\cdot,-\log T)$, $q_-(\cdot,-\log T)$ and zero lateral boundary value. By the maximum principle

$$\begin{cases} 0\le\overline{q}_1^R\le q_1^R \quad\text{and}\quad 0\le\overline{q}_2^R\le q_2^R & \text{in } Q_R\\ \overline{q}_1^R\le\overline{q}_1^{R'} \quad\text{and}\quad \overline{q}_2^R\le\overline{q}_2^{R'} & \text{in } Q_R\quad \forall R'\ge R>0.\end{cases} \tag{3.19}$$

Since both $\widetilde{u}$ and $\widetilde{v}$ satisfy (1.9),

$$|q| \le \widetilde{B}_{k_2} - \widetilde{B}_{k_1} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, \infty). \tag{3.20}$$

By (3.19) and (3.20) the families of solutions $\overline{q}_1^R(x,s)$ and $\overline{q}_2^R(x,s)$ are monotone increasing in R and uniformly bounded above by $\widetilde{B}_{k_2} - \widetilde{B}_{k_1}$, which implies

$$\overline{q}_1 = \lim_{R\to\infty} \overline{q}_1^R \qquad \text{and} \qquad \overline{q}_2 = \lim_{R\to\infty} \overline{q}_2^R$$

exist and are both solutions of (3.3) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,\infty)$.

Let $\eta_{R'} \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. By Lemma 2.2 and the same computation as the proof of Lemma 2.1 of [Hs1],

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |q(x,s)|\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0|(x)\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx \\
&\qquad = \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{|x|\le R} \left(\widetilde{a}(x,\tau) q_1^R(x,\tau) \triangle \eta_{R'} - \frac{1}{N-2} q_1^R(x,\tau) x \cdot \nabla \eta_{R'} \right) dx\, d\tau \\
&\qquad\quad + \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{|x|\le R} \left(\widetilde{a}(x,\tau) q_2^R(x,\tau) \triangle \eta_{R'} - \frac{1}{N-2} q_2^R(x,\tau) x \cdot \nabla \eta_{R'} \right) dx\, d\tau \\
&\qquad\quad - 2\int_{|x|\le R} \min(q_1^R(x,s), q_2^R(x,s)) \eta_{R'}(x)\,dx \qquad \forall R \ge 2R' > 0, s > -\log T.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |q(x,s)|\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0|(x)\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx \\
\le & \frac{C}{R'^2} \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{R' \le |x| \le 2R'} \widetilde{a}(x,\tau) q_1^R(x,\tau)\,dx d\tau + C \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{R' \le |x| \le 2R'} q_1^R(x,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau \\
& + \frac{C}{R'^2} \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{R' \le |x| \le 2R'} \widetilde{a}(x,\tau) q_2^R(x,\tau)\,dx d\tau + C \int_{-\log T}^{s} \int_{R' \le |x| \le 2R'} q_2^R(x,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau \\
& - 2\int_{|x|\le R_0} \min(\overline{q}_1^R(x,s), \overline{q}_2^R(x,s)) \eta_{R'}(x)\,dx \quad \forall R \ge 2R' > 0, R \ge R_0 > 0, s > -\log T.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

By Corollary 2.4,

$$0 \le q_+, q_- \le |q| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, s)) \quad \forall s > -\log T. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $s > -\log T$ be fixed. Then by (3.22) and Lemma 3.1 there exists a sequence of positive numbers $\{R_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$, $R_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$, such that $q_1^{R_i}$, $q_2^{R_i}$, converges uniformly on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, s]$ to some solutions $\widetilde{q}_1$, $\widetilde{q}_2$, of (3.3) respectively as $i \to \infty$. Moreover

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{q}_1(x,\tau)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0|\,dx & \forall -\log T \le \tau \le s \\ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \widetilde{q}_2(x,\tau)\,dx \le \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0|\,dx & \forall -\log T \le \tau \le s. \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{q}_1, \widetilde{q}_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R} \times [0,s]). \tag{3.23}$$

Putting $R=R_i$ in (3.21) and letting $i\to\infty$, by (3.18),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q(x,s)|\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|(x)\eta_{R'}(x)\,dx\\ \le &C\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R'\le|x|\le 2R'}\widetilde{q}_1(x,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau+C\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R'\le|x|\le 2R'}\widetilde{q}_2(x,\tau)\,dx\,d\tau\\ &-2\int_{|x|\le R_0}\min(\overline{q}_1(x,s),\overline{q}_2(x,s))\eta_{R'}\,dx\quad\forall R'\ge 1,R_0>0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

By (3.23),

$$\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R'\le|x|\le 2R'}\widetilde{q}_j(x,\tau)\,dxd\tau\to 0\qquad\text{as } R'\to\infty,\ j=1,2.$$

Hence letting $R'\to\infty$ in (3.24),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q(x,s)|\,dx\le\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|(x)\,dx-2\int_{|x|\le R_0}\min(\overline{q}_1(x,s),\overline{q}_2(x,s))\,dx\tag{3.25}$$

holds for any $R_0>0$, $s>-\log T$. We now choose $R_0>0$ such that

$$\min(\|(\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0)_+\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0}(0))},\|(\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0)_-\|_{L^\infty(B_{R_0}(0))})>0.$$

Since $\overline{q}_1\ge\overline{q}_+^{2R_0}$ and $\overline{q}_2\ge\overline{q}_-^{2R_0}$, by (3.25),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q(x,s)|\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|(x)\,dx\le -2\int_{|x|\le R_0}\min(\overline{q}_+^{2R_0}(x,s),\overline{q}_-^{2R_0}(x,s))\,dx\quad\forall s>-\log T.$$

Since $\overline{q}_+^{2R_0}(x,s)$ and $\overline{q}_-^{2R_0}(x,s)$ are the solutions of (3.3) in Q_{2R_0} with zero boundary value and initial values $q_+(\cdot,-\log T)$, $q_+(\cdot,-\log T)$, respectively, by the Green function representation for solutions, for any $s>-\log T$, there exists a constant $c(s)$ such that

$$\min_{|x|\le R_0}\widetilde{q}_+^{2R_0}\ge c(s)>0\qquad\text{and}\qquad\min_{|x|\le R_0}\widetilde{q}_-^{2R_0}\ge c(s)>0$$

and the lemma follows. □

We next note that $\widetilde{B}_k$ given by (1.10) is a stationary solution of (1.8) for any $k>0$. By an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 1 of [OR] we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3. *(cf. Lemma 1 of* [OR]*) Suppose* $\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,s_i)-\widetilde{w}_0\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\to 0$ *as* $i\to\infty$*. If* $\widetilde{w}$ *is a solution of* (1.8) *in* $\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,\infty)$ *with initial value* $\widetilde{w}(x,0)=\widetilde{w}_0(x)$*, then*

$$\|\widetilde{w}(\cdot,s)-\widetilde{B}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}=\|\widetilde{w}_0-\widetilde{B}_k\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}\quad\forall s>0,k>0$$

where $\widetilde{B}_k$ *is given by* (1.10).

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since the proof of the case $N=3$ is similar to that of [Hs1] and section 3 of [DS1], we will only sketch the argument here. Let

$$f(k)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}(u_0(x)-B_k(x))\,dx.$$

Then $f(k)$ is a continuous monotone increasing function of $k>0$. By (1.5), $f(k_1)\ge 0\ge f(k_2)$. Hence by the intermediate value theorem there exists a unique k_0 such that $f(k_0)=0$. By (1.9), Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3, and an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [Hs1], one gets that the rescaled function $\widetilde{u}(\cdot,s)$ converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^3$, and also in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, to the rescaled Barenblatt solution $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}$ as $s\to\infty$. □

4. THE NON-INTEGRABLE CASE ($N \geq 5$)

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2. Since the difference of any two solutions u, v of (1.2) that satisfies (1.6) may not be integrable when $N \geq 4$, for any solution u that satisfies (1.6) we cannot ensure the existence of a constant $k_0 > 0$ such that (1.11) holds from the condition (1.6) alone. Thus we need additional conditions on the initial data to ensure convergence. We will assume that u_0 also satisfies (1.12) for some constant $k_0 > 0$ and function $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ in this section. Unless stated otherwise in this section we will assume that u is a solution of (1.2) which satisfies the bound (1.6), $\widetilde{u}$ will denote the rescaled solution defined by (1.7), and $\widetilde{B}_k$ will be the rescaled Barenblatt solution given by (1.10).

We will use a modification of the technique of [DS1] to find the asymptotic behaviour of the solution of (1.2) near its extinction time T. The following simple convergence result will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let u_0 satisfy (1.5) for some constants $k_2 > k_1 > 0$ and u be a solution of (1.2) that satisfies (1.6). Let $\widetilde{u}$ be given by (1.7). Let $\{s_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $s_i \to \infty$ as $i \to \infty$ and $\widetilde{u}_i(\cdot, s) = \widetilde{u}(\cdot, s_i + s)$. Then the sequence $\{\widetilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a subsequence $\{\widetilde{u}_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that converges uniformly on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ to a solution $\widetilde{w}(x, s)$ of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ which satisfies (1.9) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ as $k \to \infty$.*

Proof. Since $\widetilde{u}$ satisfies (1.9) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, \infty)$, equation (1.8) is uniformly parabolic on $B_R \times \left[-\frac{\log T}{2} - s_i, \infty\right)$, for any $R > 0$. By the Schauder estimates for parabolic parabolic equation [LSU] the sequence $\widetilde{u}_i$ is equi-Hölder continuous in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$. Hence by the Arzela-Ascoli theorem and a diagonalization argument the sequence $\{\widetilde{u}_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{\widetilde{u}_{i_k}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ that converges uniformly in C^2 on every compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ to a solution $\widetilde{w}$ of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ which satisfies (1.9) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (-\infty, \infty)$ as $k \to \infty$. □

Lemma 4.2. *Let $N \geq 5$ and let $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}$, be two solutions of (1.8) with initial values $\widetilde{u}_0$, $\widetilde{v}_0$, respectively which satisfy (1.9). Let $\widetilde{B} = \widetilde{B}_{k_2}$. Suppose $\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0 \in L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}, \mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\alpha = \frac{N-4}{2}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v}|(x, s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u}_0 - \widetilde{v}_0|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\, dx + Cs \quad \forall s > -\log T. \tag{4.1}$$

Proof. Let $\eta_R \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 and let $q = \widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v}$. By the Kato inequality [K] q satisfies

$$|q|_s \leq \triangle(|\log \widetilde{u} - \log \widetilde{v}|) + \frac{1}{N-2}\nabla(x \cdot |q|) \quad \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (-\log T, \infty)$$

in the distribution sense. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v}|(x, s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\, dx \\ \leq &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\log \widetilde{u} - \log \widetilde{v}|(x, s)\left(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\triangle\eta_R(x) + \eta_R(x)\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x) + 2\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x) \cdot \nabla\eta_R(x)\right) dx \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{N-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{v}|(x, s)\, x \cdot \left\{\eta_R(x)\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x) + \widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\nabla\eta_R(x)\right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx\\
\le&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\log\widetilde{u}-\log\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\left(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\triangle\eta_R(x)+2\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\cdot\nabla\eta_R(x)\right)\,dx\\
&\qquad-\frac{1}{N-2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\,x\cdot\nabla\eta_R(x)\,dx\\
&\qquad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\left\{\widetilde{a}(x,s)\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\right\}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\eta_R(x)\,dx\\
=&I_{1,R}+I_{2,R}+I_{3,R}\qquad\qquad\forall s>-\log T.\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

where $\widetilde{a}(x,s)$ is given by (3.17). By direct computation,

$$\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)=-\frac{(N-4)(2|x|^2+k_2N)}{(k_2+|x|^2)^2}\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}<0\quad\text{ in }\mathbb{R}^N.\tag{4.3}$$

Since $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}$, satisfies (1.9), by (3.17) $\widetilde{a}(x,s)$ satisfies

$$\frac{k_2+|x|^2}{2(N-2)}\le\widetilde{a}(x,s)\le\frac{k_1+|x|^2}{2(N-2)}\quad\text{ in }\mathbb{R}^N\times(-\log T,\infty).\tag{4.4}$$

Then by (4.3) and (4.4),

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{a}(x,s)\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)&\le\frac{k_2+|x|^2}{2(N-2)}\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\\
&=-\frac{k_2(N-4)N}{2(N-2)(k_2+|x|^2)}\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)<0\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

in $\mathbb{R}^N\times(-\log T,\infty)$. Hence

$$I_{3,R}\le 0.\tag{4.6}$$

Since $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}\ge\widetilde{B}$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\log\widetilde{u}-\log\widetilde{v}|=\left|\log\left(\frac{\widetilde{u}}{\widetilde{v}}\right)\right|&\le\begin{cases}C\,|(\widetilde{u}/\widetilde{v})-1|&\text{if }\widetilde{u}\ge\widetilde{v}\\ C\,|(\widetilde{v}/\widetilde{u})-1|&\text{if }\widetilde{v}\ge\widetilde{u}\end{cases}\\
&\le C\widetilde{B}^{-1}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|\end{aligned}$$

for some constant $C>0$. Then

$$|I_{1,R}|\le C_1\int_{B_{2R}\setminus B_R}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\widetilde{B}^{-1}(x)\left|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\triangle\eta_R(x)+2\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\cdot\nabla\eta_R(x)\right|\,dx\tag{4.7}$$

Since

$$|\widetilde{B}|\le\frac{C}{R^2},\quad|\widetilde{B}^{-1}|\le CR^2,\quad|\nabla\widetilde{B}|\le\frac{C}{R^3},\quad|\triangle\widetilde{B}|\le\frac{C}{R^4},\quad|\nabla\eta_R|\le\frac{C}{R},\quad|\triangle\eta_R|\le\frac{C}{R^2}\tag{4.8}$$

and

$$|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|\le\left|\widetilde{B}_{k_1}-\widetilde{B}_{k_2}\right|\le\frac{C}{R^4}$$

in $B_{2R}(0)\setminus B_R(0)$ for any $R>1$ and some constant $C>0$, by (4.7)

$$|I_{1,R}|\le C'\quad\forall R>1,s>-\log T.\tag{4.9}$$

Similarly there exists a constant $C>0$ such that

$$|I_{2,R}|\le C\quad\forall R>1,s>-\log T\tag{4.10}$$

By (4.2), (4.6), (4.9), and (4.10),

$$\frac{d}{ds}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}|(x,s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx\le C\quad\forall R>1,s>-\log T$$

for some constant $C>0$. Integrating the above differential inequality and letting $R\to\infty$ we get (4.1) and the lemma follows. □

Lemma 4.3. *Let $N\ge 5$ and let $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{v}$, be two solutions of* (1.8) *with initial values $\widetilde{u}_0$, $\widetilde{v}_0$, satisfying* (1.9) *and $\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0\in L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\alpha=\frac{N-4}{2}$. Let $\widetilde{B}=\widetilde{B}_{k_2}$. If*

$$\max_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|\ne 0,\tag{4.11}$$

then for any $s>-\log T$ there exist constants $C(s)>0$ and $R_0>1$ such that

$$\left\|(\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v})(\cdot,s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\eta_R\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}<\left\|(\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0)(\cdot,s)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\eta_R\right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}-C(s)\quad\forall R\ge R_0\tag{4.12}$$

where η_R is as in the proof of Lemma 2.1.

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Lemma 4.1 of [DS1] to prove the lemma. Let $\eta_R\in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ be as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Let $q=\widetilde{u}-\widetilde{v}$ and $\widetilde{a}(x,s)$ be given by (3.17). By the proof of Lemma 4.2, (4.2) holds. Integrating (4.2),

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q(x,s)|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx\\ \le&\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}\widetilde{a}(x,\tau)|q|(x,\tau)\left(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\triangle\eta_R+\eta_R\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}+2\nabla\eta_R\cdot\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\right)dx\,d\tau\\ &\qquad-\frac{1}{N-2}\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q|(x,\tau)x\cdot\left(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\nabla\eta_R+\eta_R\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\right)dx\,d\tau.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q(x,s)|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|\widetilde{u}_0-\widetilde{v}_0|\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\eta_R(x)\,dx\\ \le&\frac{C}{R^2}\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\widetilde{a}(x,\tau)|q|(x,\tau)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\,dx\,d\tau\\ &\qquad+\frac{C}{R}\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}\widetilde{a}(x,\tau)|q|(x,\tau)\left|\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\right|dx\,d\tau\\ &\qquad+C\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}|q|(x,\tau)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\,dx\,d\tau\\ &\qquad+\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{a(x,\tau)\triangle\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}-\frac{1}{N-2}x\cdot\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\right\}|q|(x,\tau)\eta_R(x)\,dx\,d\tau\\ =&I_{1,R}+I_{2,R}+I_{3,R}+I_{4R}\qquad\forall R>0,s>-\log T.\end{aligned}\tag{4.13}$$

Now by (4.8),

$$|\nabla\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}|\le CR^{-1}\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}\quad\forall R\le|x|\le 2R,R>1\tag{4.14}$$

for some constant $C>0$. Then by (4.4) and (4.14),

$$0\le I_{2,R}\le CI_{1,R}\le C'I_{3,R}\quad\forall R>0.\tag{4.15}$$

Since by Lemma 4.2,

$$\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{\mathbb{R}^N}|q|(x,\tau)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\,dx\,d\tau<\infty\quad\forall s>-\log T,$$

we have

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} I_{3,R}=\lim_{R\to\infty}\int_{-\log T}^{s}\int_{R\le|x|\le 2R}|q|(x,\tau)\widetilde{B}^{\alpha}(x)\,dxd\tau=0. \tag{4.16}$$

By (4.15) and (4.16),

$$\lim_{R\to\infty} I_{1,R}=\lim_{R\to\infty} I_{2,R}=0. \tag{4.17}$$

By (4.5) and (4.11) for any $s>-\log T$ there exist constants $C(s)>0$ and $R_1>1$ such that

$$I_{4,R}<-C(s)\quad \forall R\ge R_1. \tag{4.18}$$

By (4.13), (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18), for any $s>-\log T$ there exists a constant $R_0>R_1$ such that (4.12) holds and the lemma follows. □

By Lemma 4.3 and an argument similar to the proof of Lemma 1 of Osher and Ralston [OR] but with the L^1 norm there being replaced by the $L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)$ norm we have the following result.

Lemma 4.4. *(cf. Lemma 1 of [OR]) Let $N\ge 5$, $\alpha=(N-4)/2$, and $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}$ be the rescaled Barenblatt solution. Suppose $\|\widetilde{u}(\cdot,s_i)-\widetilde{w}_0\|_{L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)}\to 0$ as $i\to\infty$. If $\widetilde{w}$ is a solution of (1.8) in $\mathbb{R}^N\times[0,\infty)$ with initial value $\widetilde{w}(x,0)=\widetilde{w}_0(x)$, then*

$$\|\widetilde{w}(\cdot,s)-\widetilde{B}_{k_0}\|_{L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)}=\|\widetilde{w}_0-\widetilde{B}_{k_0}\|_{L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)}\quad \forall s>0.$$

By an argument similar to the proof of Claim 4.4 of [DS1] but with Lemma 4.3 and Corollary 2.4 replacing Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 2.2 in the proof there we have the following result.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $N\ge 5$ and let u_0, u, $\widetilde{u}$, $\widetilde{u}_i$, $\widetilde{u}_{i_k}$ and $\widetilde{w}$ be as in Lemma 4.1. Then the sequence $\widetilde{u}_{i_k}(x,s)$ converges to $\widetilde{w}(x,s)$ in $L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\alpha},\mathbb{R}^N)$-norm as $k\to\infty$.*

Then by the same argument as the proof of Theorem 1.2 of [DS1] on P.110 but with Lemma 4.2, Lemma 4.3, and Claim 4.4 there being replaced by Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.1 and Lemma 4.5, we get Theorem 1.2. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

5. Improvement

In this section we will improve Theorem 1.2 by removing the assumption $u_0\ge B_{k_1}(x,0)$ where $B_{k_1}(x,t)$ is given by (1.4) for some $T>0$. Let $T>0$ and $k_0>0$ be fixed constants. Denoting by

$$B_{k_0}(x,t)=\frac{2(N-2)(T-t)_+^{\frac{N}{N-2}}}{k_0+(T-t)_+^{\frac{2}{N-2}}|x|^2},$$

we will prove the following result.

Theorem 5.1. *Let $N\ge 5$. Suppose*

$$0\le u_0\le B_{k_0}(x,0)\qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$|u_0(x)-B_{k_0}(x,0)|\le f(|x|)\in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N) \tag{5.2}$$

for some nonnegative radially symmetric function f. Then the maximal solution u of (1.2) vanishes at the same time T as $B_{k_0}(x,t)$ and the rescaled solution $\widetilde{u}(x,s)$ given by (1.7) converges uniformly on $\mathbb{R}^N$ and in $L^1(\widetilde{B}^{\frac{N-4}{2}},\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $s\to\infty$ to the rescaled Barenblatt solution $\widetilde{B}_{k_0}$.

We will first prove that condition (5.2) implies the L^1-contraction principle.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $N \geq 3$ and $0 \leq u_0$ satisfy (5.2) for some function $0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Suppose u is the maximal solution of (1.2) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0)$ for some $T_0 > 0$. Then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(\cdot,t) - B_{k_0}(\cdot,t)| \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)} \qquad \forall 0 < t < \min(T, T_0). \tag{5.3}$$

Proof. For any $k \geq k_0$, let u_k be the maximal solutions of (1.2) (cf.[Hu2]) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_k)$ with initial values

$$u_{0,k}(x) = \max(B_k(x,0), u_0(x)), \qquad \forall k \geq k_0$$

where T_k is the maximal time of extistence of the solution u_k. Since

$$u_0(x) \leq u_{0,k'}(x) \leq u_{0,k}(x) \leq 2(N-2)k_0^{-1}T^{\frac{N}{N-2}} \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall k' \geq k \geq k_0$$

and u, u_k are the maximal solutions of (1.2) with initial values u_0, $u_{0,k}$ respectively, by the result of [Hu2],

$$u(x,t) \leq u_{k'}(x,t) \leq u_k(x,t) \leq 2(N-2)k_0^{-1}T^{\frac{N}{N-2}} < \infty \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0) \tag{5.4}$$

for any $k' \geq k \geq k_0$. Then $T_k \geq T_{k'} \geq T_0$ for all $k' \geq k \geq k_0$. Hence the equation (1.2) for the sequence $\{u_k\}_{k \geq k_0}$ is uniformly parabolic on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0)$. By the Schauder estimates [LSU], $\{u_k\}_{k \geq k_0}$ is equi-Hölder continuous on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0)$. Since the sequence of solution $\{u_k\}_{k \geq k_0}$ is decreasing as $k \to \infty$ and bounded below by u, u_k converges uniformly to a solution v of (1.2) on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0)$ as $k \to \infty$. By an argument similar to the proof of Theorem 2.4 in [Hu2], v has initial value u_0. Letting $k \to \infty$ in (5.4),

$$v(x,t) \geq u(x,t) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0).$$

On the other hand since u is the maximal solution of with initial value u_0,

$$u(x,t) \geq v(x,t) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0).$$

Hence $u = v$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0, T_0)$. Since both $B_{k_0} \geq B_k$ and $u_k \geq B_k$ for any $k \geq k_0$, by Lemma 2.3,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |B_{k_0} - u_k|(x,t) \, dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |B_{k_0} - u_k|(x,0) \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}, \quad \forall k \geq k_0, \ \forall 0 < t < \min(T, T_0). \tag{5.5}$$

Letting $k \to \infty$ in (5.5), we get (5.3) and the lemma follows. □

Note that if $0 \leq u_0 \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ satisfies (5.2) for some function $0 \leq f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then the maximal solution u of (1.2) and B_{k_0} have the same vanishing time. The reason is as follows. Let $T_0 > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of the solution u of (1.2). We first suppose that $T_0 < T$, then by (5.3)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |B_{k_0}(x, T_0)| \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

On the other hand, since the dimension $N \geq 3$, $B_{k_0}(x, T_0) \notin L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Contradiction arises. Hence $T_0 \geq T$. We now assume that $T_0 > T$. Letting $t \nearrow T$ in (5.3),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x,T)| \, dx \leq \|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

This contradicts the result of Vazquez [V1] which said that (1.2) has no solution that is in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence $T = T_0$ and the maximal solution u vanishes at the same time as $B_{k_0}(x,t)$.

We next prove a lemma on the existence of maximal solutions of (1.2).

Lemma 5.3 (cf. Corollary 2.8 in [Hu2])**.** *Let* $N \ge 3$ *and let* $g(x) = B_{k_0}(x,0) - h(x)$ *for some radially symmetric function* $0 \le h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *be such that* $g(x) \ge 0$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Then there exists a unique maximal solution* u *of* (1.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T)$ *with initial value* g*.*

Proof. Since the proof is similar to that of Corollary 2.8 of [Hu2], we will only give a sketch of the proof here. For any $R > 0$ and any function $\psi \in L^1(B_R(0))$, let

$$\widetilde{G}_R(\psi)(x) = \int_{B_R(0)} (G_R(0,y) - G_R(x,y))\, \psi(y)\, dy \qquad \forall |x| \le R$$

where G_R is the Green function for the Laplacian on $B_R(0)$. Since u_0 is radially symmetric and

$$B_{k_0}(x,0) \ge \frac{C_1}{|x|^2} \qquad \forall |x| \ge 1$$

for some constant $C_1 > 0$, for any $R > 1$, we have (cf.[Hu2])

$$\widetilde{G}_R(g)(x) = \int_0^{|x|} \frac{1}{\omega_N r^{N-1}} \left(\int_{|y| \le r} g(y)\, dy \right) dr \ge 0, \qquad \forall |x| \le R$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\widetilde{G}_R(g)(x) &\ge \int_1^{|x|} \frac{1}{\omega_N r^{N-1}} \left(\int_{1 \le |y| \le r} g(y)\, dy \right) dr \\ &\ge \frac{C_1}{N-2} \log|x| - \left(\frac{C_1}{(N-2)^2} + \frac{\|h\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{(N-2)\omega_N} \right) \left(1 - |x|^{2-N}\right) \qquad \forall 1 \le |x| \le R.\end{aligned}$$

Hence there exist constants $R_1 > 1$ and $C_2 > 0$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}_R(g)(x) \ge C_2 \log|x| \qquad \forall R_1 \le |x| \le R. \tag{5.6}$$

Then by (5.6) and the result of [Hu2], (1.2) has a unique maximal solution u with initial value g in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T_1)$ for some constant $T_1 > 0$. Since the solution u is unique and g is radially symmetric, $u(\cdot,t)$ is radially symmetric in $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T_1)$. Let $T_2 > 0$ be the maximal time of existence of the solution u. By the discussion just before the lemma we have $T_2 = T$ and the lemma follows. □

By Lemma 1.8 of [Hu2], Lemma 5.4, and an argument similar to the proof of Corollary 2.8 of [Hu2] we have the following corollary.

Corollary 5.4. *Let* $N \ge 3$ *and let* $B_{k_0}(x,0) - h(x) \le u_0(x) \le B_{k_0}(x,0)$ *for some radially symmetric function* $h \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$ *satisfying* $0 \le h(x) \le B_{k_0}(x,0)$ *on* $\mathbb{R}^N$*. Then there exists a unique maximal solution* u *of* (1.2) *in* $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T)$ *satisfying* $0 \le u(x,t) \le B_{k_0}(x,t)$ *in* $\mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T)$ *with initial value* u_0*.*

Lemma 5.5. *Let* $N \ge 3$*. Suppose* u_0 *satisfies* (5.1), (5.2), *and* u *is the maximal solution of* (1.2)*. Then there exist positive constants* C_1, C_2, C_3, r_0, s_0 *such that the rescaled function* $\widetilde{u}$ *given by* (1.7) *satisfies*

$$C_1 \frac{e^{-C_3 e^s \|f\|_{L^1}}}{1+r^2} \le \widetilde{u}(r,s) \le C_2 \frac{e^{C_3 e^s \|f\|_{L^1}}}{1+r^2} \qquad \forall r \ge r_0,\ s \ge s_0. \tag{5.7}$$

Proof. We will use a modification of the proof of Proposition 6.2 of [DS1] to prove the lemma. We will first prove (5.7) under the assumption that u_0 is radially symmetric. Let $\{u_k\}_{k \ge k_0}$ be the sequence constructed in the proof of Lemma 5.2. As observed in the proof of Lemma 2.2 the function

$$w_k(x) = \int_l^t |\log u_k - \log B_{k_0}|(x,\tau)\, d\tau \qquad \forall 0 < l < t < T$$

satisfies

$$\triangle w_k(x) \geq -|u_k - B_{k_0}|(x,l) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad \forall 0 < l < t < T$$

and

$$\triangle(w_k - Z_k(\cdot,l)) \geq 0 \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \qquad\qquad \forall 0 < l < t < T$$

where $Z_k(x,l)$ is given by

$$Z_k(x,l) = \int_r^\infty \frac{1}{\omega_N \rho^{N-1}} \int_{|y|\leq\rho} |u_k - B_{k_0}|(y,l)\,dyd\rho, \qquad r = |x|.$$

Note that Z_k satisfies $\triangle Z_k(x,l) = -|u_k - B_{k_0}|(x,l)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$. Then, as in the proof of Lemma 2.2,

$$w_k(x) \leq Z_k(x,l) \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Thus

$$w_k(x) \leq C_3 \frac{\|(u_k - B_{k_0})(l)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{r^{N-2}}, \qquad r = |x| \geq 1, \tag{5.8}$$

for some constant $C_3 > 0$. By (5.2), (5.5) and (5.8),

$$\int_l^t \log B_{k_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau - C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{r^{N-2}} \leq \int_l^t \log u_k(x,\tau)\,d\tau \leq \int_l^t \log B_{k_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau + C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{r^{N-2}} \tag{5.9}$$

holds for any $r = |x| \geq 1$ and $0 < l < t < T$. We now let $t \in [3T/4, T)$ and choose $l \in [T/2, T)$ such that $T - t = t - l$. For any $l \leq \tau \leq t$,

$$B_{k_0}(x,\tau) \leq \frac{2(N-2)(T-l)_+^{\frac{N}{N-2}}}{k_0 + (T-t)_+^{\frac{2}{N-2}}|x|^2} = \frac{2(N-2)\,[2(T-t)_+]^{\frac{N}{N-2}}}{k_0 + (T-t)_+^{\frac{2}{N-2}}|x|^2} = 2^{\frac{N}{N-2}} B_{k_0}(x,t)$$

and similarly

$$B_{k_0}(x,\tau) \geq 2^{-\frac{N}{N-2}} B_{k_0}(x,l) \quad \forall l < \tau < T.$$

Hence

$$(t-l)\left\{\log B_{k_0}(x,l) - \log 2^{\frac{N}{N-2}}\right\} \leq \int_l^t \log B_{k_0}(x,\tau)\,d\tau \leq (t-l)\left\{\log B_{k_0}(x,t) + \log 2^{\frac{N}{N-2}}\right\}. \tag{5.10}$$

By (5.9) and (5.10),

$$\log\left(\frac{B_{k_0}(x,l)}{C_4}\right) \leq \frac{1}{t-l}\int_l^t \log u_k(x,\tau)\,d\tau \leq \log\left(C_4 B_{k_0}(x,t)\right) \qquad \forall |x| = r \geq 1 \tag{5.11}$$

where $C_4 = e^{C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{T-t}} 2^{\frac{N}{N-2}}$. Since u_k satisfies the Aronson-Benilan inequality (cf. [Hu2]),

$$u_t \leq \frac{u}{t} \qquad\qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times (0,T),$$

we have

$$\frac{\tau}{t} u_k(x,t) \leq u_k(x,\tau) \leq \frac{\tau}{l} u_k(x,l) \qquad\qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, l \leq \tau \leq t$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad \log\left(\frac{l}{t}\, u_k(x,t)\right) \leq \frac{1}{t-l}\int_l^t \log u_k(x,\tau)\,d\tau \leq \log\left(\frac{t}{l}\, u_k(x,l)\right) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N, l \leq \tau \leq t. \tag{5.12}$$

Now by our choice for l we have $t/l \leq 2$. Then by (5.11) and (5.12),

$$u_k(x,t) \leq C_5 e^{C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{T-t}} B_{k_0}(x,t) \qquad \forall\ |x| \geq 1, 3T/4 \leq t < T \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$u_k(x,l) \geq C_6 e^{-C_3\frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{T-t}} B_{k_0}(x,l) \qquad \forall\ |x| \geq 1, 3T/4 \leq l < T \tag{5.14}$$

for some constants C_5, $C_6 > 0$. Letting $k \to \infty$ in (5.13) and (5.14),

$$C_6 e^{-C_3 \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{T-t}} B_{k_0}(x,t) \le u(x,t) \le C_5 e^{C_3 \frac{\|f\|_{L^1}}{T-t}} B_{k_0}(x,t) \qquad \forall\ |x| \ge 1, 3T/4 \le t < T. \tag{5.15}$$

By (5.15) we conclude after rescaling,

$$C_1 \frac{e^{-C_3 e^s \|f\|_{L^1}}}{1+r^2} \le \widetilde{u}(r,s) \le C_2 \frac{e^{-C_3 e^s \|f\|_{L^1}}}{1+r^2}, \qquad \forall r \ge T^{1/(N-2)}, s \ge -\log(T/4)$$

for some constants $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$.

When $u_0(x)$ is nonradial and satisfies (5.2), by the above result for the radially symmetric initial data case and an argument similar to the last step of the proof of Proposition 6.2 of [DS1] on p.118 of [DS1] the lemma follows. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By Lemma 5.5 there exist positive constants C_1, C_2, C_3, s_0 and r_0 such that (5.7) holds. Let $s_1 > s_0 > -\log T$ and $Q_{r_0}^{s_1} = B_{r_0}(0) \times (s_0, s_1)$. Then there exist constants $C_4 > 0$, $C_5 > 0$ such that

$$\frac{C_4}{1+r_0^2} \le \widetilde{u}(x,s) \le \frac{C_5}{1+r_0^2} \tag{5.16}$$

on the parabolic boundary $\partial_p Q_{r_2}^{s_1} = (\overline{B_{r_0}(0)} \times \{s_0\}) \cup (\partial B_{r_0}(0) \times (s_0, s_1))$. By the maximum principle,

$$\frac{C_4}{1+r_0^2} \le \widetilde{u}(x,s) \le \frac{C_5}{1+r_0^2} \qquad \text{in } Q_{r_0}^{s_1}. \tag{5.17}$$

By (5.16) and (5.17),

$$\frac{C_4'}{1+|x|^2} \le \widetilde{u}(x,s) \le \frac{C_5'}{1+|x|^2} \qquad \text{on } \mathbb{R}^N \times [s_0, s_1)$$

for some constants $C_4' > 0$, $C_5' > 0$. Hence $\widetilde{u} - \widetilde{B}_{k_0}$ satisfies (3.3) in $\mathbb{R}^N \times [s_0, \infty)$ with

$$\widetilde{a}(x,s) = \int_0^1 \frac{d\theta}{\theta \widetilde{u} + (1-\theta)\widetilde{B}_{k_0}}$$

and $\widetilde{a}$ satisfies (3.1) for some constants $C_1 > 0$ and $C_2 > 0$. By (5.1),

$$\widetilde{u}(x,s) \le \frac{2(N-2)}{k_0 + |x|^2} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [s_0, \infty).$$

Hence

$$\widetilde{a}(x,s) \ge \frac{k_0 + |x|^2}{2(N-2)} \qquad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N \times [s_0, \infty).$$

Then by an argument similar to the the proof of Theorem 1.2 in section 4 the theorem follows. □

Acknowledgement Sunghoon Kim was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology(2011-0030749).

References

[A] D.G. Aronson, *The porous medium equation, CIME Lectures*, in Some problems in Nonlinear Diffusion, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1224, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[BBDGV] A. Blanchet, M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vazquez, *Asymptotics of the fast diffusion equaiton via entropy estimates*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 191 (2009), 347–385.

[BDGV] M. Bonforte, J. Dolbeault, G. Grillo and J.L. Vazquez, *Sharp rates of decay of solutions to the nonlinear fast diffusion equation via functional inequalities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 107 (2010), no. 38, 16459–16464.

[BGV] M. Bonforte, G. Grillo and J.L. Vazquez, *Special fast diffusion with slow asymptotics: entropy method and flow on a Riemannian manifold*, Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal. 196 (2010), 631–680.

[DK] P. Daskalopoulos and C.E. Kenig, *Degenerate diffusion-initial value problems and local regularity theory*, Tracts in Mathematics 1, European Mathematical Society, 2007.

[DP1] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. Del Pino, *On a singular diffusion equation*, Comm. in Analysis Geometry 3 (1995), no. 3, 523–542.

[DP2] P. Daskalopoulos and M.A. del Pino, *Type II collapsing of maximal solutions to the Ricci flow in* $\mathbb{R}^2$, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linaire 24 (2007), 851–874.

[DS1] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *On the extinction profile of solutions to fast diffusion*, J. Reine Angew. Math. 622 (2008), 95–119.

[DS2] P. Daskalopoulos and N. Sesum, *Type II extinction profile of maximal solutions to the Ricci flow equation*, J. Geom. Anal. 20 (2010), 565–591.

[G] P. G. de Gennes, *Wetting: statics and dynamics*, Reviews of Modern Physics 57 (1985), no. 3, 827–863.

[GT] D. Gilbarg and N.S. Trudinger, *Elliptic partial differential equations of second order*, 2nd. edition, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983.

[Hs1] S.Y. Hsu, *Asymptotic profile of solutions of a singular diffusion equation as* $t \to \infty$, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 48 (2002), 781–790.

[Hs2] S.Y. Hsu, *Asymptotic behaviour of solutions of the equation* $u_t = \Delta \log u$ *near the extinction time*, Advances in Differential Equations 8 (2003), no. 2, 161–187.

[Hs3] S.Y. Hsu, *Behaviour of solutions of a singular diffusion equation near the extinction time*, Nonlinear Analysis TMA 56 (2004), no. 1, 63–104.

[Hu1] K.M. Hui, Existence of solutions of the equation $u_t = \Delta \log u$ Nonlinear Analysis TMA 37 (1999), 875–914.

[Hu2] K.M. Hui, *On Some Dirichlet and Cauchy Problems for a Singular Diffusion Equation,* Differential Integral Equations 15 (2002), no. 7, 769–804.

[Hu3] K.M. Hui, *Collapsing behaviour of a singular diffusion equation*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems-Series A 32 (2012), no. 6, 2165-2185.

[K] T. Kato, *Schrödinger operators with singular potentials*, Israel J. Math. 13 (1973), 135–148.

[LSU] O.A. Ladyzenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltceva *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type,* Transl. Math. Mono. vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., USA, 1968.

[LT] P.L. Lions and G. Toscani, *Diffusive limit for finite velocity Boltzmann kinetic models*, Revista Matematica Iberoamericana 13 (1997), no. 3, 473–513.

[OR] S.J. Osher and J.V. Ralston, L^1 *stability of traveling waves with applications to convective porous media flow,* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (1982), 737–749.

[P] L.A. Peletier, *The porous medium equation* in Applications of Nonlinear Analysis in the Physical Sciences, H. Amann, N. Bazley, K. Kirchgassner editors, Pitman, Boston, 1981.

[V1] J.L. Vazquez, *Nonexistence of solutions for nonlinear heat operators of fast-diffusion type,* J. Math. Pures Appl. 71 (1992), 503-526.

[V2] J.L. Vazquez, *Smoothing and decay estimates for nonlinear parabolic equations of porous medium type*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2006.

[V3] J.L. Vazquez, *The porous medium equation Mathematical Theory*, Oxford University Press Inc., New York, 2007.

[WD] M. B. Williams and S. H. Davis, *Non-linear theory of film rupture*, J. Colloidal and Interface Sc. 90 (1982), no. 1, 220–228.

[W1] L. F. Wu, *The Ricci flow on complete* R^2, Comm. Anal. Geom. 1 (1993), 439–472.

[W2] L. F. Wu, *A new result for the porous medium equation*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1993), 90–94.

Kin Ming Hui: Institute of Mathematics, Academia Sinica, Taipei, 10617, Taiwan, R.O.C.

E-mail address: `kmhui@gate.sinica.edu.tw`

Sunghoon Kim: Department of Mathematics and PMI (Pohang Mathematics Institute), Pohang University of Science and Technology (POSTECH), Hyoja-Dong San 31, Nam-gu, Pohang 790-784, South Korea

E-mail address: `math.s.kim@postech.ac.kr`